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The School of Architecture has developed the following Program Learning Outcomes Assessment Plan utilizing the procedure outlined by the Office of the Provost dated October 24, 2011.

Step 1: Program Learning Outcomes
The School of Architecture is accredited by the National Architectural Accrediting Board which provides a series of conditions for accreditation—the most recent conditions were published in 2009. A part of these conditions are 32 Student Performance Criteria (SPC) which are grouped into three realms that include a series of student learning aspirations. The School of Architecture has developed its Program Learning Objectives to align with and include the student learning aspirations from the 2009 NAAB Conditions for Accreditation. We have identified 9 Program Learning Outcomes organized under the 3 realms established by NAAB:

Realm A: Critical Thinking and Representation:
- Students will demonstrate fundamental design skills and communicate graphically in a range of media.
- Students will recognize the assessment of evidence.
- Students will comprehend people, place, and context and will recognize the disparate needs of client, community and society.

Realm B: Integrated Building Practices, Technical Skills and Knowledge:
- Students will create building designs with well-integrated systems and will comprehend constructability.
- Students will incorporate life safety systems and integrate accessibility in building designs.
- Students will apply principles of sustainable design.

Realm C: Leadership and Practice:
- Students will know societal and professional responsibilities and comprehend the business of building.
- Students will collaborate and comprehend negotiating with clients and consultants in the design process and will discern the diverse roles of architects and those in related disciplines.
- Students will integrate community service into the practice of architecture.

Alignment of Program Learning Outcome with Student Performance Criteria
To assist with the alignment of the Program Learning Outcomes with the NAAB Student Performance Criteria, we have listed the appropriate NAAB Student Performance Criteria beneath each Program Learning Outcome. This expanded list will assist the School in coordinating the assessment of Program Learning Outcomes with the assessment of Student Performance Criteria during our NAAB site visit (scheduled for 2014).

Realm A: Critical Thinking and Representation:
- Students will demonstrate fundamental design skills and will communicate graphically in a range of media.
  - A. 1. Communication Skills
  - A. 2. Design Thinking Skills
  - A. 4. Technical Documentation
  - A. 6. Fundamental Design Skills
  - A. 8. Ordering Systems Skills
• Students will recognize the assessment of evidence.
  ▪ A. 5. Investigative Skills
  ▪ A. 7. Use of Precedents
  ▪ A. 11. Applied Research
• Students will comprehend people, place, and context and will recognize the disparate needs of client, community, and society.
  ▪ A. 7. Use of Precedents
  ▪ A. 9. Historical Traditions and Global Culture
  ▪ A. 10. Cultural Diversity

Realm B: Integrated Building Practices, Technical Skills and Knowledge:
• Students will create building designs with well-integrated systems and comprehend constructability.
  ▪ B. 1. Pre-Design
  ▪ B. 4. Site Design
  ▪ B. 6. Comprehensive Design
  ▪ B. 7 Financial Considerations
  ▪ B. 8 Environmental Systems:
  ▪ B. 9. Structural Systems
  ▪ B. 10. Building Envelope Systems
  ▪ B. 11. Building Service Systems
  ▪ B. 12. Building Materials and Assemblies:
• Students will incorporate life safety systems and integrate accessibility in building designs
  ▪ B. 2. Accessibility
  ▪ B. 5. Life Safety
  ▪ B. 6. Comprehensive Design:
• Students will apply principles of sustainable design.
  ▪ B. 3. Sustainability
  ▪ B. 6. Comprehensive Design:

Realm C: Leadership and Practice:
• Students will know societal and professional responsibilities and comprehend the business of building.
  ▪ C. 2. Human Behavior:
  ▪ C. 3. Client Role in Architecture
  ▪ C. 4. Project Management
  ▪ C. 5. Practice Management
  ▪ C. 6. Leadership
  ▪ C. 7. Legal Responsibilities
  ▪ C. 8. Ethics and Professional Judgment:
• Students will collaborate and comprehend negotiating with clients and consultants in the design process and discern the diverse roles of architects and those in related disciplines.
  ▪ C. 1. Collaboration
  ▪ C. 3. Client Role in Architecture:
  ▪ C. 4. Project Management
  ▪ C. 6. Leadership
  ▪ C. 7. Legal Responsibilities
• Students will integrate community service into the practice of architecture.
  ▪ C.9. Community and Social Responsibility
Step 2: Determine Where Program Learning Outcomes are Addressed in Our Curriculum

Since Student Performance Criteria are aligned with the Program Learning Outcomes we identified which courses from the NAAB SPC matrix address each of the Program Learning Outcomes. The chart below identifies where the Program Learning Outcomes occur in our curriculum and are aligned with the NAAB Student Performance Criteria demonstrated by each course.

### Program Learning Objectives

#### Montana State University Required Courses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARCH 121A Intro to Design</strong></td>
<td>A.8</td>
<td><strong>ARCH 121A Intro to Design</strong></td>
<td>A.8</td>
<td>A.2</td>
<td>C.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARCH 121B Design Fundamentals I</strong></td>
<td>A.3</td>
<td><strong>ARCH 121B Design Fundamentals I</strong></td>
<td>B.12</td>
<td>B.9</td>
<td>C.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARCH 131B Building Construction I</strong></td>
<td>A.6</td>
<td><strong>ARCH 131B Building Construction I</strong></td>
<td>B.3</td>
<td>B.8</td>
<td>C.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARCH 132A Architecture I</strong></td>
<td>A.3</td>
<td><strong>ARCH 132A Architecture I</strong></td>
<td>B.13</td>
<td>B.6</td>
<td>C.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARCH 132B Architecture II</strong></td>
<td>A.8</td>
<td><strong>ARCH 132B Architecture II</strong></td>
<td>A.1</td>
<td>A.1</td>
<td>C.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARCH 133 Architectural Design I</strong></td>
<td>A.3</td>
<td><strong>ARCH 133 Architectural Design I</strong></td>
<td>B.1</td>
<td>C.8</td>
<td>C.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARCH 134 Architectural Design II</strong></td>
<td>A.4</td>
<td><strong>ARCH 134 Architectural Design II</strong></td>
<td>A.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARCH 135 Architectural Design III</strong></td>
<td>A.3</td>
<td><strong>ARCH 135 Architectural Design III</strong></td>
<td>A.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARCH 136 Architectural Design IV</strong></td>
<td>A.2</td>
<td><strong>ARCH 136 Architectural Design IV</strong></td>
<td>A.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARCH 137 Architectural Building Studies</strong></td>
<td>A.1</td>
<td><strong>ARCH 137 Architectural Building Studies</strong></td>
<td>A.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARCH 138 Advanced Architectural Studies</strong></td>
<td>A.1</td>
<td><strong>ARCH 138 Advanced Architectural Studies</strong></td>
<td>A.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARCH 139 Comprehensive Design Studies</strong></td>
<td>A.5</td>
<td><strong>ARCH 139 Comprehensive Design Studies</strong></td>
<td>B.6</td>
<td>B.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Step 3: Decide Where you can Gather Evidence of Student Performance

All of the Student Performance Criteria are assessed at a level of Understanding or Ability. We made the assumption that ‘Understanding’ would be equivalent to ‘Developing’ (D) and ‘Ability’ would be equivalent to ‘Mastery’ (M). As such we will be utilizing assignments from each of the courses marked with a Student Performance Criteria to assess the program learning outcomes.

Our courses can be grouped into the following categories and the types of assignments that will be assessed are listed beneath each course category:

- **ARCH X1X** course rubrics are assigned to professional practice courses
  - Selected questions from mid-term and final examination (both essay and multiple choice) will be identified and assessed

- **ARCH X2X** course rubrics are assigned to architectural history and theory courses
  - Selected questions from mid-term and final examination (both essay and multiple choice) will be identified and assessed

- **ARCH X3X** course rubrics are assigned to environmental controls system courses
  - Selected questions from mid-term and final examination will be identified and assessed

- **ARCH X4X** course rubrics are assigned to building structures and building construction courses
  - Selected questions from mid-term and final examination/project will be identified and assessed

- **ARCH X5X** course rubrics are assigned to architectural design studio courses
  - Portfolio submissions for second year admission to assess ARCH 151 and 152
  - Portfolio submissions for graduate admission to assess ARCH 253, 354, 355, and 456

- **ARCH X6X** course rubrics are assigned to architectural graphics courses
  - Portfolio submissions for graduate admissions to assess ARCH 261, 262 and 363
We will work with the faculty of those courses to identify the questions that will be an effective way of measuring this. We have developed an initial rubric scoring sheet for the second year admissions and graduate admissions portfolio review, which has been in use for the last two academic years. In addition, an initial assessment rubric and identification of questions for ARCH 323IA was developed by Assistant Professor David Fortin.

**Step 4: Set an Expected Performance Threshold for each Outcome**

In the upcoming 2014 National Architectural Accrediting Board site visit, the visiting team will be assessing each of our courses relative to the Student Performance Criteria. NAAB will use a rating of ‘Criteria Not Met’, ‘Criteria Met’ and ‘Criteria Well Met’. The standard of ‘Criteria Met’ is the minimum expected performance established by NAAB for each Student Performance Criteria.

For our program learning outcomes, the School has established the following standards for the review of course assignments, examination questions or portfolios: ‘Does Not Demonstrate Ability’, ‘Demonstrates Ability’, ‘Demonstrates Excellence’. The School agreed to a threshold of at least 80% of students will be rated as “Demonstrates Ability” or higher in every category of the scoring rubric.

**Step 5a Create a Schedule for Assessing each Outcome**

Following is a chart that identifies when each Program Learning Outcome is to be assessed. It is intended for this to happen on a three-year cycle leading to the following schedule of assessment.
Step 5b  Create a Schedule for Reviewing Assessment Plan Elements.
Each component of the assessment plan will be reviewed at the start of and revised as appropriate at the start of each three-year cycle of the program learning assessment outcomes. The next cycle will begin in Fall 2015. This review will take place at the start of Fall Semester of that academic year (the next three-year cycle would begin in Fall 2018). The chart below shows the review schedule for reviewing assessment plan elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Learning Objectives</th>
<th>Montana State University Assessment Plan Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubrics</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Step 5c Create Schedule for Reviewing the Courses in the Curriculum/Outcomes Matrix

The chart below shows the review schedule for reviewing the courses within the curriculum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Learning Objectives</th>
<th>Montanna State University</th>
<th>Schedule of Course Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 5c</td>
<td><strong>Schedule of Course Reviews</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 121A Intro to Design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 151A Design Fundamentals I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 152 Design Fundamentals II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 241 Building Construction I</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 243 Arch Structures I</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 244 Arch Structures II</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 253 Architectural Design I</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 261 Architectural Graphics I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 262 Architectural Graphics II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 313 Professional Practice</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 322A World Architecture I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 323A World Architecture II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 331 Environmental Controls I</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 331 Environmental Controls II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 340 Building Construction II</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 354 Architectural Design II</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 355 Architectural Design III</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 363 Architectural Graphics III</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 456 Architectural Design IV</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 557 Architectural Building Studio</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 551 Advanced Architectural Studio</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCH 558 Comprehensive Design Studio</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Step 6 Process for Assessing the Data**

1. Projects or assignments are collected from identified courses.
2. Random samples of these collected assignments are scored by a minimum of two faculty members using the prepared scoring rubrics. Faculty will not score assignments from their own courses.
3. The assessment coordinator tabulates the scores. Areas where the acceptable performance threshold has not been met will be highlighted.
4. The scores are presented to the faculty for assessment.
5. The faculty will review the assessment results, and decide how to respond.
   a. If an acceptable performance threshold has not been met, a faculty response is required and this response may consist of
      i. Gather additional data from a larger sample to confirm or revise assessment results
      ii. Gather data in the following year
      iii. Refute the result
      iv. Change an aspect of the curriculum to address the problem.
   b. Faculty can respond to assessment results even if the acceptable performance threshold has been met.
   c. If performances thresholds are being met, faculty do not have to make changes.
6. A summary of the year’s assessment activities and faculty decisions are reported to the Provost’s Office in the School of Architecture’s Annual Assessment Activities report.

**Step 7 Submit your Assessment Plan**

Assessment plan and annual reports will be submitted to the Provost’s Office (Ron Larson and copy Diane Heck)